1 2 3	RESOLUTION NO. 7 Reinstating the Legal Department Update and the Quarterly Law Newsletter		
4 5 6 7 8 9	WHEREAS,	legal analysis on Association m	s Association (CSEA) provides for the atters, including, but not limited to f Directors, contracts and proposed
10 11 12	WHEREAS,	member-leaders provide frontling the scope of representation, and	ne representation on most matters within
13 14 15	WHEREAS,	the Public Employment Relation the 2018-2019 fiscal year, and	ns Board (PERB) issued 92 decisions in
16 17	WHEREAS,	of those 92 cases, CSEA was a	party to 8 of those cases, and
18 19 20	WHEREAS,	there are 45 pending cases before docket, and	ore PERB for the 2019-2020 fiscal year
21 22	WHEREAS,	of those 45 cases, CSEA is a pa	arty to 2 of those cases, and
23 24 25	WHEREAS,	CSEA has previously provided to Reviews" of PERB decisions in	to staff and member-leaders "Legal previous years, and
26 27 28	WHEREAS,	many PERB decisions have an Association, and	impact on CSEA members and the
29 30 31	WHEREAS,	• .	re information that staff and member- better, well informed decisions can be abers.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	"Legal Department of the Quartment of th	rtment Update"; subject, "PERB l rterly "Member Law" Newsletter, lar legal analysis of PERB and ot	Association be directed to reinstate the Jpdate of Unfair Labor Practice Decisions through CSEA's legal department, to her court decisions and pending cases yees, their employer, or the Association to taff.
40 41 42 43		oruary 3, 2020, postmark Association Secretary	Submitted by Santa Maria Elementary Chapter 129, Area I, Region 37 Approved at January 21, 2020 Chapter Meeting
44 45			/s/ Matthew Harris, Chapter President
46 47 48 49 50 51 52			/s/ Jennifer Escobedo, Chapter Secretary

Author's Statement

CSEA has provided legal analysis in the past of legal decisions and other legal matters that affect CSEA members and the Association. This review was prepared by the legal department and this information was shared with member-leaders and Labor Relations Representatives, as well as many others in CSEA. It is unclear why CSEA ceased providing this very valuable information to staff and member-leaders, but it was likely due to cost savings during the great recession.

School districts and community college districts often utilize legal consultation to prepare for grievances, negotiation, PERB charges and other business matters. While CSEA maintains our own in-house attorneys, chapters do not have direct access to counsel. Legal questions and opinions are passed through the Labor Relations Representative to the lawyers and then back down to the member-leader. Sometimes it is a single question that may take days to get an answer. Many member-leaders are good at researching legal questions, while others look to the Association to give them guidance. I believe these updates will benefit everyone.

In the 2018/2019 PERB docket, there were ninety-two cases, eight of which named CSEA as a party. In the 2019/2020 PERB docket, there are as many as forty-five cases before the board, two of which CSEA was a named party. At no time during 2018 or 2019 were these cases discussed with member-leaders, beyond perhaps the involved parties. Every case under the name CSEA is a case that involves all of CSEA. We represent over 250,000 members throughout California and each of us have a stake in what our legal department does at PERB or in court.

 An example of some of the legal updates provided by CSEA's legal department include, October 25, 1991, from Margie Valdez, subject line, "Persian Gulf Holiday". This case, CSEA v Governing Board of San Leandro Unified School District, was a win for CSEA that awarded holiday pay to employees of the SLUSD for days declared by then President Clinton commemorating our victory in Persian Gulf war.

Another example is June 25, 1999, from Maureen Whelen, subject line, "Legal Update East Side Union High School District v. PERB, and CSEA". This was a huge victory of CSEA from the Court of Appeals. The case centers around cafeteria positions losing benefits as they became vacant. The appeals case, which named both CSEA and PERB as parties, upheld PERB's own ruling in the case that found that ESUHSD committed an unfair labor practice when it did not negotiate with CSEA over the changes to benefits for vacant positions. It was previously decided by PERB that changes to benefits based primarily on labor cost savings was negotiable.

Another example is March 6, 2000, from Alan Hersh, subject line, "CSEA's right to home addresses and telephone numbers of all non-managerial employees." This legal update addressed a PERB proposed regulation that CSEA fought against, and PERB eventually withdrew it. It had to do with releasing contact information of non-managerial employees to the Association. Extensive research went into this particular PERB hearing where CSEA submitted testimony and written comments.

 Resolution No. 7 (continued)

As a member-leader, I find this kind of information invaluable. It adds value to our membership. If there is a legal question in the South, you can bet that they have a similar question in the North. The information shared through these updates can help leaders make a more informed decision. It also provided a look inside our legal world of labor and education employees. Every dollar spent on legal analysis and case litigation is shared by all CSEA members.

All the more reason to share the information on a regular basis.

Committee Analysis and Recommendation:

Resolution No. 7 proposes that CSEA "reinstate the "Legal Department Update"; subject "PERB Update of Unfair Labor Practice Decisions" and the Quarterly "Member Law" Newsletter, through CSEA's legal department to provide legal analysis of PERB and other court decisions that may impact classified employees, their employer or the Association, and provide to all member-leaders and field staff.

Budget Impact: This resolution as submitted is not clear if the Legal Newsletter would be a printed newsletter. However, Resolution No. 7 proposes that CSEA "reinstate the "Legal Department Update"; "PERB Update of Unfair Labor Practice Decisions" and the Quarterly "Member Law" Newsletter. The costs associated with printing and mailing a quarterly, hard copy newsletter to 1,300 member leaders and staff would impact the budget by \$3,172. The financial impact is minimal and has no bearing on the recommendation.

The committee received input from the legal department, who is also looking at Resolution 7.

The committee feels that reinstating a "newsletter" is a step backward as a mass mailing is not timely due to the time necessary for printing. In addition, it was felt that through the mail, it could potentially fall into the hands of anti-worker/anti-union groups and used to the detriment of the Association. The decisions made by PERB are currently included in "Leadership Mail" and placed on the website.

Additionally, the committee realizes that the Field Office Staff receive updates in real time and are the best resource for the member-leaders of CSEA concerning PERB decisions that impact our members.

The committee recognizes the need for an analysis on decisions, with a centralized location on the CSEA website with a searchable database.

The Communications Committee recommends a NO vote on Resolution 7 (2020).